Financial Shocks and Industrial Employment

Erdem Başçı Yusuf Soner Başkaya Mustafa Kılınç

Central Bank of the Republic of Turkey

International Economic Association Sixteenth World Congress July 7, 2011 Beijing, China



Plan of the presentation

- Motivation and Literature Review
- Empirical Approach
- Results
- Conclusions

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三

Motivation

Bond Spreads and Manufacturing Employment in the USA



Erdem Başçı (CBRT)

Motivation

Spreads and Employment in USA, Greece, Portugal and Spain



Erdem Başçı (CBRT)

July 2011 4 / 23

4 3 > 4 3

• Understanding the effects of financial conditions on the economic activity is at the center of the current academic and policy debates.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三

- Understanding the effects of financial conditions on the economic activity is at the center of the current academic and policy debates.
- A particular interest is how the financial conditions affect the firms' demand for inputs, such as physical capital and employment.

• In case of financial frictions, the capital structure of the firms becomes relevant for the value and input decisions of the firms.

- In case of financial frictions, the capital structure of the firms becomes relevant for the value and input decisions of the firms.
- The role of financial constraints as a determinant of firms' investment decisions is well-established in the literature.

.

- In case of financial frictions, the capital structure of the firms becomes relevant for the value and input decisions of the firms.
- The role of financial constraints as a determinant of firms' investment decisions is well-established in the literature.
 - Fazzari et al. (1988), Chirinko (1993), Schiantarelli (1996), Hubbard (1998), Bond and Van Reenen (2007), Agca and Mozumdar (2008), Carpenter and Guariglia (2008) and Islam and Mozumdar (2007).

- In case of financial frictions, the capital structure of the firms becomes relevant for the value and input decisions of the firms.
- The role of financial constraints as a determinant of firms' investment decisions is well-established in the literature.
 - Fazzari et al. (1988), Chirinko (1993), Schiantarelli (1996), Hubbard (1998), Bond and Van Reenen (2007), Agca and Mozumdar (2008), Carpenter and Guariglia (2008) and Islam and Mozumdar (2007).
- In contrast, limited number of studies focusing on the effects of financial conditions on employment.

A (10) × (10) × (10)

- In case of financial frictions, the capital structure of the firms becomes relevant for the value and input decisions of the firms.
- The role of financial constraints as a determinant of firms' investment decisions is well-established in the literature.
 - Fazzari et al. (1988), Chirinko (1993), Schiantarelli (1996), Hubbard (1998), Bond and Van Reenen (2007), Agca and Mozumdar (2008), Carpenter and Guariglia (2008) and Islam and Mozumdar (2007).
- In contrast, limited number of studies focusing on the effects of financial conditions on employment.
 - Sharpe (1994) and Gilchrist et al (2009) for US, Nickell and Wadwhani (1991) and Nickell and Nicolitsas (1999), Funke et al. (1999) and Ogawa (2003), Caggese and Cunat (2008) for UK, Japan, Germany, Spain, Italy.

Our approach

• With industry-level data, we estimate the employment response to exogenous variations in the spreads using a standard labor demand equation augmented with the inclusion of borrowing costs in the economy.

Our approach

- With industry-level data, we estimate the employment response to exogenous variations in the spreads using a standard labor demand equation augmented with the inclusion of borrowing costs in the economy.
 - When firms face working capital constraints on their wage bill, interest rates on the working capital directly affects the employment decision (Christiano and Eichenbaum (1992), Neumeyer and Perri (2005)).

Our approach

- With industry-level data, we estimate the employment response to exogenous variations in the spreads using a standard labor demand equation augmented with the inclusion of borrowing costs in the economy.
 - When firms face working capital constraints on their wage bill, interest rates on the working capital directly affects the employment decision (Christiano and Eichenbaum (1992), Neumeyer and Perri (2005)).
- We also focus on whether the employment response of industries to financial shocks differ with respect to their External Finance Dependence (EFD: ratio of capital expenditures minus cash flow from operations divided by capital expenditures) as measured by Rajan and Zingales (1998).

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

- NBER-CES Manufacturing Industry Database covering 1958-2005 period. Includes data on 459 4-digit manufacturing industries.
- The spread between corporate bonds with Aaa and Baa ratings is obtained from Moody's.
- EFD index by industries is provided by Rajan and Zingales (1998).
- The uncertainty index based on exogenous economic and non-economic events, used to exploit exogenous variations in spreads, is taken from Bloom (2009).

Model

Consider a standard labor demand equation for estimation of labor demand elasticities suggested by Hamermesh (1986, 1993):

$$\ln(L_{j,t}) = \alpha + \sum_{i} \beta_{i} \ln(w_{i,j,t}) + \gamma Y_{j,t} + \varepsilon_{j,t}$$

$$L_{j,t}$$
: Labor input used in sector j ,
 $w_{i,j,t}$: Factor price for i^{th} input in j^{th} industry at time t
 $Y_{j,t}$: Output of industry j
 $\varepsilon_{j,t}$: Error term.

 β_i gives the constant-output elasticity of labor demand with respect to factor price of input *i*.

・ロン ・四 ・ ・ ヨン ・ ヨン

Model

- A potential determinant of the input demands is the financial constraints faced by firms.
- Assume θ ∈ [0, 1] is the fraction of firms' wage bills that need to be paid in advance to labor before production takes place.
- Profit function becomes:

$$\Pi_t = K_t^{\eta} L_t^{1-\eta} - W_t L_t - R_t^k K_t - (R_{t-1} - 1)\theta W_t L_t$$

• Labor demand in log-deviations form from the corresponding steady state values:

$$\hat{L}_t = \hat{K}_t - rac{1}{\eta}\hat{W}_t - \xi\hat{R}_{t-1}$$

• Augmented labor demand function becomes:

$$\ln(L_{j,t}) = \alpha + \sum \beta_{j} \ln(w_{j,i,t}) + \gamma Y_{j,t} + \delta \ln(R_{t-1}) + \varepsilon_{j,t}$$

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Methodology

- **Practical Issue 1:** All the factor prices (other than wages) in the dataset reflect the nominal indices, rather than the actual levels of factor prices.
- Therefore, their distribution across industries at a particular time does not correspond to their actual cross-sectional distribution.
- Follow Slaughter (2001): take first differences and use $ln(R_{t-1}) \approx r_{t-1}$:

$$\Delta \ln(L_{j,t}) = \alpha + \phi_j + \sum \beta_i \Delta \ln(w_{j,i,t}) + \gamma \Delta Y_{j,t} + \delta \Delta r_{t-1} + u_{j,t}$$

Methodology

- Practical Issue 2: For Δr_{t-1} we want to use spreads ΔBaa_Aaa_{t-1}. However due to lack of cross-sectional variation in Baa_Aaa_{t-1}, we cannot include in this regression time fixed effects to account for unobserved variations in the employment growth common to all industries.
- As a solution, we use $\triangle Baa_Aaa_{t-1} \times EFD_j$, where EFD is taken from Rajan and Zingales (1998).
- New labor demand equation:

$$\Delta \ln(L_{j,t}) = \alpha + \phi_j + \eta_t + \sum_{i} \beta_i \Delta \ln(w_{j,i,t}) + \gamma \Delta Y_{j,t}$$

+ $\delta(\triangle Baa_Aaa_{t-1}) \times (EFD_j) + u_{j,t}$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	Annual Rate of				
Dependent Variable	Change in				
	Employment	Employment	Employment	Employment	Employment
Lag of Annual Percentage Points Change in Baa-Aaa Spread	-0.005	-0.006	-	-	-
	(0.002)***	(0.002)***	-	-	-
Interaction between Lag of Annual Percentage Points Change in Baa-Aaa					
Spread and External Financial Dependence of Sector i	-	-	-0.011	-0.012	-0.005
	-	-	(0.004)***	(0.004)***	(0.006)
Annual Rate of Change in Industry's Average Wage	-0.632	-0.626	-0.634	-0.628	-0.657
	(0.024)***	(0.025)***	(0.024)***	(0.025)***	(0.027)***
Annual Rate of Change in Industry's Cost of Capital	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.083	0.092
	(0.011)***	(0.011)***	(0.011)***	(0.011)***	(0.010)***
Annual Rate of Change in Value of Real Output	0.556	0.552	0.557	0.552	0.522
	(0.016)***	(0.014)***	(0.016)***	(0.014)***	(0.015)***
Annual Rate of Change in Price of Materials	0.216	0.187	0.216	0.188	0.135
	(0.019)***	(0.017)***	(0.019)***	(0.017)***	(0.019)***
Annual Rate of Change in Price of Energy	0.042	0.053	0.042	0.052	0.001
	(0.009)***	(0.008)***	(0.009)***	(0.008)***	(0.012)
Industry Fixed Effects	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Year Fixed Effects	No	No	No	No	Yes
Impact Factor %	-0.14	-0.17	-0.07	-0.08	-0.03
Observations	19660	19660	19660	19660	19660
R-squared	0.60	0.62	0.60	0.62	0.65

Table 2: Determinants of Annual Rate Of Change In Industry's Employment - OLS Results

• A particular difficulty in estimating the effect of spreads on employment is the endogenous changes in spreads.

- A particular difficulty in estimating the effect of spreads on employment is the endogenous changes in spreads.
 - For example, a deterioration in expectations about future economic activity can lead to an increase in spreads and a decline in employment at the same time.

- A particular difficulty in estimating the effect of spreads on employment is the endogenous changes in spreads.
 - For example, a deterioration in expectations about future economic activity can lead to an increase in spreads and a decline in employment at the same time.
 - Alternatively, periods of expansion might be associated with investment and employment expansions by firms with lower credit rating, which would increase bond supply at the lower end, and hence widen the spread between bonds with high credit rating and low credit rating.

List of Events used as an Instrument for Exogenous Changes in Spreads

Use 16 exogenous events in Bloom (2009) to capture the exogenous variations in the spreads.

Event	Date	Type of Event
Cuban Missile Crises	October 1962	Terror
Assasination of J.K. Kennedy	November 1963	Terror
Vietnam Buildup	August 1966	War
Cambodia and Kent State	May 1970	War
OPEC I, Arab-Israel War	December 1973	Oil
Franklin National	October 1974	Economic
OPEC II	November 1978	Oil
Afghanistan, Iran Hostages	March 1980	War
Monetary Policy Cycle Turning Point	October 1982	Economic
Black Monday	November 1987	Economic
Gulf War I	November 1990	War
Asian Crises	November 1997	Economic
Russian Crises	September 1998	Economic
9/11 Terrorist Attack	September 2001	Terror
Vorldcom and Enron	September 2002	Economic
Gulf War II	February 2003	War

Table 1: Excoencius Events Used for Extracting Excoencius Changes in Spreads Between Baa-Aaa Rated Bonds (1962-2005)

Source: Bloom (2009), p.676

Erc	lem	Basci ((CBRT)

July 2011 16 / 23

A B F A B F

First Stage Regression for the IV-Results (Using All 16 Events)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Dependent Variable	Lag of Annual Percentage Points Change in Baa-Aaa Spread	Lag of Annual Percentage Points Change in Baa-Aaa Spread	Interaction between Lag of Annual Percentage Points Change in Baa- Aaa Spread and External Financial Dependence of Sector i	Interaction between Lag of Annual Percentage Points Change in Baa- Aaa Spread and External Financial Dependence of Sector i	Interaction between Lag of Annual Percentage Points Change in Baa- Aaa Spread and External Financial Dependence of Sector i
One Year Lag of Exogenous Events Documented by Bloom (2009)	0.15	0.15			:
Interaction between One Year Lag of Exogenous Events Documented by Bloom (2009) and External Financial Dependence of Sector i		-	0.118 (0.001)***	0.151 (0.001)***	0.153 (0.000)***
Annual Rate of Change in Industry's Average Wage	0.828	0.837	0.248	0.249	0.004
Annual Rate of Change in Industry's Cost of Capital	0.004	0.008	0.021	0.015	0.013
Annual Rate of Change in Value of Real Output	-0.152	-0.161	-0.087	-0.072	-0.025
Annual Rate of Change in Price of Materials	-0.53	-0.552	-0.127	-0.148	0.029
Annual Rate of Change in Price of Energy	0.333	0.34	0.085	0.092	0.00
Industry Fixed Effects Year Fixed Effects	No No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Observations	19660	19660	19660	19660	19660
R-squared	0.10	0.10	0.07	0.08	0.55

Table 3a: First Stage Regressions for Instrumental Variables

Erdem Başçı (CBRT)

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ○臣

July 2011

17 / 23

First Stage Regression for the IV-Results (Using 10 non-economic events)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	Lag of Annual	Lag of Annual	Interaction between Lag of Annual Percentage	Interaction between Lag of Annual Percentage	Interaction between Lag of Annual Percentage
Dependent Variable	Percentage Points	Percentage Points	Points Change in Baa-	Points Change in Baa-	Points Change in Baa-
Sependent Valable	Change in Baa-Aaa	Change in Baa-Aaa	Aaa Spread and External	Aaa Spread and External	Aaa Spread and External
	Spread	Spread	Financial Dependence of	Financial Dependence of	Financial Dependence of
			Sector I	Sector I	Sector I
One Year Lag of Exogenous Non-economic Events Doc. by Bloom (2009)	0.088	0.088			-
	(0.001)***	(0.001)***	-		-
Interaction between One Year Lag of Exogenous Noneconomic Events Doc. by					
Bloom (2009) and External Financial Dependence of Sector i	-	-	0.078	0.088	0.088
	-	-	(0.001)***	(0.001)***	(0.000)***
Annual Rate of Change in Industry's Average Wage	0.875	0.883	0.262	0.264	0.006
	(0.050)***	(0.051)***	(0.025)***	(0.025)***	-0.015
Annual Rate of Change in Industry's Cost of Capital	-0.018	-0.012	0.01	0.01	0.014
	(0.021)	(0.023)	(0.007)	(0.008)	(0.006)**
Annual Rate of Change in Value of Real Output	-0.178	-0.191	-0.083	-0.082	-0.027
	(0.026)***	(0.029)***	(0.010)***	(0.012)***	(0.008)***
Annual Rate of Change in Price of Materials	-0.491	-0.511	-0.124	-0.135	0.03
	(0.049)***	(0.052)***	(0.015)***	(0.016)***	(0.013)**
Annual Rate of Change in Price of Energy	0.316	0.321	0.083	0.086	0.002
	(0.029)***	(0.030)***	(0.009)***	(0.009)***	(0.017)
Industry Fixed Effects	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Year Fixed Effects	No	No	No	No	Yes
Observations	19660	19660	19660	19660	19660
R-squared	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.53

Table 3b: First Stage Regressions for Instrumental Variables:

Erdem Başçı (CBRT)

▶ ▲ ≣ ▶ ≣ ∽ ९ ୯ July 2011 18 / 23

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

IV-Results for Effects of Exogenous Changes in Spreads on Employment (Using All 16 Events)

Table 5a: Determinants of Annual Rate Of Change in Industry's Employment - IV Results exogenous events documented by Bioom(2009)							
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
	Annual Rate of						
Dependent Variable	Change in						
	Employment	Employment	Employment	Employment	Employment		
Lag of Annual Percentage Points Change in Baa-Aaa Spread	-0.079	-0.077	-	-	-		
	(0.006)***	(0.006)***	-	-	-		
Interaction between Lag of Annual Percentage Points Change in Baa-Aaa							
Spread at t and External Financial Dependence of Sector i	-	-	-0.141	-0.162	-0.058		
	-	-	(0.023)***	(0.018)***	(0.024)**		
				(1.1.1.1)	(
Annual Rate of Change in Industry's Average Wage	-0.564	-0.559	-0.598	-0.586	-0.657		
	(0.026)***	(0.026)***	(0.025)***	(0.026)***	(0.027)***		
Annual Rate of Change in Industry's Cost of Capital	0.081	0.082	0.083	0.084	0.093		
	(0.011)***	(0.010)***	(0.011)***	(0.010)***	(0.010)***		
Annual Rate of Change in Value of Real Output	0.543	0.538	0.547	0.54	0.521		
	(0.016)***	(0.014)***	(0.016)***	(0.014)***	(0.015)***		
Annual Rate of Change in Price of Materials	0.188	0.159	0.204	0.174	0.137		
	(0.018)***	(0.016)***	(0.019)***	(0.017)***	(0.019)***		
Annual Rate of Change in Price of Energy	0.063	0.073	0.051	0.064	0.001		
	(0.009)***	(0.009)***	(0.009)***	(0.008)***	(0.012)		
Industry Fixed Effects	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
Year Fixed Effects	No	No	No	No	Yes		
Impact Factor %	-2.23	-2.17	-0.95	-1.10	-0.39		
Observations	19660	19660	19660	19660	19660		
R-squared	0.56	0.58	0.58	0.59	0.65		

Table 5a: Determinants of Annual Rate Of Change In Industry's Employment - IV Results exogenous events documented by Bloom(2005

Erdem Başçı (CBRT)

July 2011 19 / 23

IV-Results for Effects of Exogenous Changes in Spreads on Employment (Using 10 Non-Economic Events)

Table 50. Determinants of Annual Rate of Change in Industry's Employment	· IV Results with	Exogenous Non	CONUTINC EVENIS	Documented by	Bi00iii (2009)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	Annual Rate of	Annual Rate of	Annual Rate of	Annual Rate of	Annual Rate of
Dependent Variable	Change in	Change in	Change in	Change in	Change in
	Employment	Employment	Employment	Employment	Employment
Lag of Annual Percentage Points Change in Baa-Aaa Spread	-0.107	-0.102	-	-	-
	(0.013)***	(0.013)***	-	-	-
Interaction between Lag of Annual Percentage Points Change in Baa-Aaa					
Spread at t and External Financial Dependence of Sector i	-	-	-0.206	-0.231	-0.104
	-	-	(0.042)***	(0.035)***	(0.050)**
Annual Rate of Change in Industry's Average Wage	-0.537	-0.536	-0.58	-0.567	-0.657
	(0.029)***	(0.029)***	(0.028)***	(0.028)***	(0.027)***
Annual Rate of Change in Industry's Cost of Capital	0.08	0.081	0.083	0.085	0.093
	(0.011)***	(0.010)***	(0.011)***	(0.011)***	(0.010)***
Annual Rate of Change in Value of Real Output	0.538	0.533	0.542	0.534	0.52
	(0.016)***	(0.014)***	(0.016)***	(0.014)***	(0.015)***
Annual Rate of Change in Price of Materials	0.177	0.149	0.198	0.167	0.138
	(0.018)***	(0.016)***	(0.018)***	(0.016)***	(0.019)***
Annual Rate of Change in Price of Energy	0.071	0.081	0.056	0.069	0.001
	(0.009)***	(0.009)***	(0.009)***	(0.008)***	(0.012)
Industry Fixed Effects	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Year Fixed Effects	No	No	No	No	Yes
Impact Factor %	-3.02	-2.88	-1.39	-1.56	-0.70
Observations	19660	19660	19660	19660	19660
R-squared	0.52	0.55	0.55	0.56	0.64

Table 5b: Determinants of Annual Rate Of Change In Industry's Employment - IV Results with Exogenous Non-economic Events Documented by Bloom (2009)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Erdem Başçı (CBRT)

Financial Shocks

July 2011 20 / 23

Magnitude of the Effect Based on Column 5

- For the median industry at the EFD distribution, decline in employment growth rate in response to 1 standard deviation increase in spreads is 0.39 percentage points with IVs based on 16 events and 0.70 percentage points with IVs based 10 events.
- Using 1.87 percentage-point increase in spread from 1.51 to 3.38 between August 2008 and December 2008, employment decline in 2009 is predicted as 4.67 and 5.78 percentage points for industries at the median and mean of EFD distribution. (Actual decline in 2009 was 11.4 percentage points.)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Differential Effect of Exogenous Changes in Spreads with Respect to EFD

Effects of changes in spreads varies by external finance dependence :



Erdem Başçı (CBRT)

July 2011 22 / 23

-

• We provide empirical evidence for existence of a channel in US where exogenous changes in the spreads (as a proxy for increase in firms' cost of borrowing) affect the level of employment.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < Ξ > < Ξ

- We provide empirical evidence for existence of a channel in US where exogenous changes in the spreads (as a proxy for increase in firms' cost of borrowing) affect the level of employment.
- 1 percentage point increase in spread leads to a slow down in employment growth rate at the magnitude of 2.3 percentage points.

- We provide empirical evidence for existence of a channel in US where exogenous changes in the spreads (as a proxy for increase in firms' cost of borrowing) affect the level of employment.
- 1 percentage point increase in spread leads to a slow down in employment growth rate at the magnitude of 2.3 percentage points.
- This effect is higher for industries with more reliance on external financial resources. For example, 7.3 percentage point slow down for the industries at the 90th percentile of EFD distribution.

- We provide empirical evidence for existence of a channel in US where exogenous changes in the spreads (as a proxy for increase in firms' cost of borrowing) affect the level of employment.
- 1 percentage point increase in spread leads to a slow down in employment growth rate at the magnitude of 2.3 percentage points.
- This effect is higher for industries with more reliance on external financial resources. For example, 7.3 percentage point slow down for the industries at the 90th percentile of EFD distribution.
- These highlight the potential for policymakers to limit employment losses during financial turmoils by mitigating the deterioration in credit conditions.

Erdem Başçı (CBRT)

Financial Shocks and Industrial Employment

Erdem Başçı Yusuf Soner Başkaya Mustafa Kılınç

Central Bank of the Republic of Turkey

International Economic Association Sixteenth World Congress July 7, 2011 Beijing, China

